



Clinical and radiographic assessment of peri-implant tissue changes using two different implant connections with immediate implant placement and loading.

Salma N. Mobarek¹, Hala K. Abdel Gaber ², Mohamed S. Zaki ³, Mohamed W. Bissar⁴

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Abstract

Aim: Radiographic assessment of dimensional changes of peri implant tissues in immediately placed implants with non-functional loading in maxillary esthetic zone with Internal Hex (IH) and Conical Tapered (CT) connections

Materials and Methods: 20 immediately placed implant with immediate loading were inserted in the maxillary esthetic zone, patients meeting the inclusion criteria were allocated into one of the two groups: Internal Hex or Conical tapered connection.

Results: Pink Esthetic Scores(PES) of the CT connection showed significantly higher values than IH. It was shown that the IH group showed statistically significant higher mean value than the CT connection in the values of crestal bone loss using CBCT.

Conclusion: CT connection has proved to result in better clinical, radiographic and volumetric outcomes than IH.

Keywords: Immediate implant, immediate loading, platform switching.

Avaliação clínica e radiográfica de alterações do tecido peri-implantar usando duas conexões de implantes diferentes com colocação e carga imediata do implante.

Resumo

Objetivo: Avaliação radiográfica das alterações dimensionais dos tecidos peri-implantar em implantes imediatamente colocados com carga não funcional na zona estética da maxila com conexões Hex Interno (IH) e Cônico Cônico (CT)

Materiais e Métodos: 20 implantes imediatamente colocados com carga imediata foram inseridos na zona estética maxilar, os pacientes que atenderam aos critérios de inclusão foram alocados em um dos dois grupos: Hex Interno ou Conexão cônica cônica.

Resultados: O Pink Esthetic Score (PES) da conexão do TC apresentou valores significativamente maiores do que o IH. Foi demonstrado que o grupo IH apresentou valor médio estatisticamente significativo maior do que a conexão CT nos valores de perda óssea crestal pela TCFC.

Conclusão: A conexão de TC provou resultar em melhores resultados clínicos, radiográficos e volumétricos do que IH.

Palavras-chave: Implante imediato, carga imediata, troca de plataforma

Instituição afiliada: ¹ Assistant lecturer in the Department of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. ² Professor in the Department of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. ³ Lecturer in the Department of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.. ⁴ Lecturer in the Department of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.

Dados da publicação: Artigo recebido em 3 de Janeiro, revisado em 25 de Janeiro, aceito para publicação em 6 de Fevereiro e publicado em 12 de Março de 2022.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36557/2674-8169.2022v4n2p04-17>

Autor correspondente: Salma N. Mobarek salmamobarek@dent.asu.edu.eg



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



INTRODUCTION

Dental implants have been widely recognized as one of the treatment options for the restoration of missing teeth (Faggion, Giannakopoulos, and Listl 2011). This treatment option is considered a complex one specially when replacing a maxillary anterior tooth, mainly owing to the set of events that follows tooth removal (Scala et al. 2014).

Changes in the alveolar ridge is a multifactorial physiological process which cannot be fully prevented, to some extent maybe owing to the blood supply loss from the periodontal ligament of the tooth after it's extraction (Araújo and Lindhe 2005).

A systematic review concluded that buccal and lingual soft tissue were proved to increase after tooth extraction which may mask the real degree of bone resorption and it's impact on the outcome of any procedure with special regards to the esthetic one (Tan et al. 2012).

Compared to the conventional method, where the socket is left to remodel before implant placement, immediate placement gives the opportunity of instant restoration, minimally invasive and more practical, as a result reducing the all in all treatment time and giving more patient satisfaction (Evans and Chen 2008; Sanz et al. 2010; S. Chen and Buser 2014).

The idea of switching platforms is to connect an implant of wide diameter to an abutment of narrower diameter, which is thought to preserve crestal bone (Lazzara and Porter 2006). This decrease in the abutment diameter creates a horizontal component that creates potential gingival augmentation in situ. This moves the microgap medially together with the inflammatory cellular infiltrate, so increasing the distance between inflammatory cells and the crestal bone (Cochran et al. 2013; Schwarz, Hegewald, and Becker 2014; Canullo, Caneva, and Tallarico 2017).

A study conducted by Morimoto et al. in 2015 mentioned that utilizing the immediate implant placement and provisionalization protocol could be advocated in the esthetic area when proper case selection is performed, as it helps in the maintenance of facial bone height and thickness regardless of the unavoidable minor vertical and horizontal bone alterations (Morimoto et al. 2015).

In 2014, a research by Carvalho examined the impact of various abutment materials as well as platform connections on stress distribution in restorations of single anterior implants using a finite element analysis (Carvalho et al. 2014). The maximum abutment stress prevailed in the Morse-tapered groups. Thus, the analysis concluded that the association of the platform connection had more influence on the stress on the abutments than on the abutment material.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Implants used were:

Implants (JDental Care¹). The internal hex JDIcon[®] and the conical connection JDIcon F.

Patient selection

- This was a randomized controlled clinical trial where 20 patients were selected from the outpatient clinic of the Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Diagnosis and Radiology Department at the Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. Study was reviewed and approved by the Faculty's research Ethics committee. The procedure was explained to all patients and an informed consent was signed before their inclusion in the study. Not more than two implants were placed in a single patient.

- Patients were allocated to one of the two groups randomly either IH or CT, having 10 patients in each group.

- Inclusion Criteria:

1. 20-40 years healthy male or female patient according to a health questionnaire by Modified Cornell Medical Index (Abramson 1966).
2. Non-restorable upper single maxillary tooth from 2nd premolar on one side to the other.
3. Absence of any periodontal disease.

Patient preparation and surgical procedure

- Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) was taken before the day of the surgery as baseline data.

- Atraumatic extraction of the tooth is performed without flap elevation (Fig.1).

Figure 1 occlusal view showing intact buccal wall (Left), extracted root (Right).



¹ JDentalCare s.r.l, Italy.

- Debridement of the extraction socket using bone curette² and then inspection of the socket with a periodontal probe³ to check for any defects after extraction specially in the labial wall (dehiscence or fenestration).
- Implants were placed at 1mm apical to the buccal level of the bone crest.
- Bovine bone graft (cerabone⁴) was placed in the jumping distance between the implant and the buccal wall of the socket.
- Indirect impression was taken using addition silicon material for fabrication of the poly methyl methacrylate (PMMA) crown using impression coping and implant fixture.
- Delivery of the temporary PMMA crown was done in 6 hours after surgery or maximum the day after (Fig.2).

Figure 2 Buccal and occlusal views after placement of temporary (PMMA) crown respectively.



- All contact on the provisional restoration was eliminated (Malchiodi et al. 2013).

Clinical evaluation

PES scores were taken on the day of temporary crown placement (T0) and 6 months after implant placement (T6).

Radiographic evaluation

CBCT scans were taken on the day of the surgery and 6 months later to be superimposed to allow for assessment (Fig.3).

² Helmut Zepf serrated curette, Germany.

³ Hu-Friedy UNC 15 Co.,LLC-USA

⁴ Cerabone granules 0.5-1mm by botiss biomaterials, Germany.

Figure 3 CBCT at baseline (immediate postoperative).



⁴ Cerabone granules 0.5-1mm by botiss biomaterials, Germany.

After the superimposition, subtraction analysis done by the computer was performed to obtain the bone difference at 0 mm and 2 mm respectively (B0 and B2).

Statistical Analysis

Numerical data were presented as mean and standard deviation values and were explored for normality by checking the data distribution, calculating the mean and median values and using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. The significance level was set at $p \leq 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM® SPSS® Statistics Version 26 for Windows.

RESULTS

1. Pink Esthetic score(PES):

A-Intergroup comparison:

- T0

Conical connection group (11.70 ± 0.48) showed significantly higher mean value than Internal hex connection group (10.70 ± 0.48) ($p < 0.001$).

- T6

Conical connection group (12.20 ± 0.63) showed significantly higher mean value than Internal hex connection group (11.10 ± 0.32) ($p < 0.001$).

B-Intragroup comparison:

- Conical connection group:

T6 (12.20 ± 0.63) showed significantly higher mean value than T0 (11.70 ± 0.48) ($p = 0.025$).

- Internal hex connection group:

T6 (11.10 ± 0.32) showed significantly higher mean value than T0 (10.70 ± 0.48) ($p = 0.046$).

2. Radiographic assessment:

A-Intergroup comparison:

[®] IBM Corporation, NY, USA.

[®]SPSS, Inc., an IBM Company.

- B0

Internal hex connection group (1.30 ± 0.72) showed higher mean value than conical connection group (0.81 ± 0.36) yet the difference was not significant ($p=0.076$).

- B2

Internal hex connection group (1.00 ± 0.69) showed significantly higher mean value than conical connection group (0.34 ± 0.20) ($p=0.015$).

- Overall

Internal hex connection group (1.15 ± 0.70) showed significantly higher mean value than conical connection group (0.57 ± 0.37) ($p=0.003$).

B-Intragroup comparison:

- Conical connection group:

B0 (0.81 ± 0.36) showed significantly higher mean value than B2 (0.34 ± 0.20) ($p < 0.001$).

- Internal hex connection group:

B0 (1.30 ± 0.72) showed significantly higher mean value than B2 (1.00 ± 0.69) ($p=0.002$).

DISCUSSION

Dental implants have been a widely accepted treatment option showing high success and survival rates with high performance in function in various trials and retrospective studies (Ackermann et al. 2020). Over the last decades, a rapid evolution of techniques has been observed in modern dentistry. The protocols used for implant rehabilitation have been reevaluated over time, due to the increased patients' demands for comfort, aesthetic acceptance and shortened treatment time (Tettamanti et al. 2017).

Immediate implant placement right after tooth extraction helped in the reduction of the surgical procedures, reducing the treatment time in general and scaling down the complications as well (Pera et al. 2018).

Authors documented the highly beneficial role of the immediate provisional restorations in establishing a platform for early implant soft tissue healing (Saito et al. 2018).

There are many types of implant abutment connections, which show a micro gap that occurs at that connection between 1 to 49 μm . This gap is commonly located under the gingival soft tissue, very close to the surrounding bone, carrying a very important role in the contamination of the peri-implant tissues by causing microbial ingress as this micro gap increases in size (Carinci et al. 2016).

Different types of crown materials can be used in hybrid abutments for implant retained restorations. The longevity of these restorations and the amount of stresses falling on the implant body and the peri-implant tissues can be affected by combining the different



materials of choice with their corresponding implant abutment connection. This may either reduce or enhance the risk of crestal bone loss around the implant (Santiago Junior et al. 2013).

This study was a randomized controlled clinical study which investigated the clinical, radiographic, and volumetric analysis of dimensional changes of peri-implant tissue in immediately placed implants in fresh extraction sockets, with immediate non-functional loading in the maxillary esthetic zone, in implants with internal hex implant abutment connection, compared to conical taper implant abutment connection. The distribution between the groups was randomized.

JDental Care implants, were selected after thorough research of the available implant designs in the market, since both the internal hex and conical taper connection possessed the same external configuration in terms of the form, number and arrangement of threads that would influence the stress transmission from the implant to the surrounding peri-implant tissues (Bozkaya, Muftu, and Muftu 2004).

Age of the patients included in the study, started after complete growth (20 years), to halt the alveolar height growth effect that can be seen in younger patients. Moreover in the inclusion criteria, the presence of at least 3-5 mm bone apical to the tooth, to allow for implant engagement and primary stability.

Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) was used for diagnosis, treatment planning and later on in the assessment of ridge changes based on the results of a systematic review conducted in 2018, that suggest that CBCT offers cross-sectional images that show high precision and reliability for bone linear measurements of cross-sectional implant-related images that can be used for proper treatment planning (Fokas et al. 2018). Even though three scans were taken in one-year interval, the CBCT settings were set to be as low as reasonably achievable, to decrease the radiation dose levels of both second and third scans more than the first one.

In terms of survival rate, our study showed that immediate implant placement was a success of 100%, which is supported by a systematic review by Lang et al. (Tan et al. 2012).

The jumping gap that results between the implant and the bone after immediate implant placement was augmented with bone graft (Cerabone⁵), although there is evidence that this space will fill without adding any graft in small defects (S. T. Chen et al. 2005).

The results which were shown in our study, where clinical, radiographic and volumetric analysis of the peri-implant tissues were assessed. Comparing between the internal hex and conical tapered connections in immediately placed implants with immediate non-functional loading.

Our present in-vivo short term prospective study (6 months) displayed a high success rate of 100% of immediately placed implants with immediate loading in both

⁵ Cerabone granules 0.5-1mm by botiss biomaterials, Germany.



groups (internal hex and conical) through the follow up period with no differentiation in success or survival rates.

Six months PES-outcomes demonstrated that both implant connections yielded noticeable improvement in the mean sum PES scores during the first 6 months following immediate implant placement and provisionalization. With statistically significant mean values for both groups conical and internal hex, with values 11.95 and 10.90 respectively.

These results agree with a study conducted in 2018, where it was a 3-year follow up study comparing between three implant configurations with immediate implant placement and provisionalization, proving that the dramatic improvement in the sum was in the first 6 months of the study (Barwacz et al. 2018). It also goes with agreement that soft tissue healing around implants are statistically significant in conical than internal hex implant abutment connection (Cheng et al. 2020).

When talking about the radiographic assessment done in our study, measuring the bone difference at two points B0 and B2, the internal hex connection group showed significantly higher mean value than the conical tapered group at both B2 and the overall bone difference. But, showed non statistically significant value when compared to the conical group at the level B0. This indicates greater crestal bone stability with the conical connection, as they are well known to greatly reduce the micro gap if not eliminate it between the implant and abutment, due to its frictional fit, which results in stabilizing the peri-implant bone (Hajaj et al. 2018).

It is believed that the use of immediate implant placement together with immediate loading has also helped improve the results of our study.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the study, it was concluded that:

Conical connection has proved to result in better clinical, radiographic, and volumetric outcomes.

REFERENCES

Abramson, J H. 1966. "The Cornell Medical Index as an Epidemiological Tool." *American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health* 56 (2): 287–98.

<https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.56.2.287>.

Ackermann, Karl-ludwig, Thomas Barth, Claudio Cacaci, Steffen Kistler, Markus Schlee, and Michael Stiller. 2020. "Clinical and Patient-Reported Outcome of Implant Restorations



with Internal Conical Connection in Daily Dental Practices: Prospective Observational Multicenter Trial with up to 7-Year Follow-Up.” *International Journal of Implant Dentistry* 6 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40729-020-00211-z>.

Araújo, M.G., and J. Lindhe. 2005. “Dimensional Ridge Alterations Following Tooth Extraction. An Experimental Study in the Dog.” *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 32: 212–18.

Barwacz, Christopher, Clark Stanford, Ursula Diehl, Lyndon Cooper, Jocelyne Feine, Michael McGuire, and E Scheyer. 2018. “Pink Esthetic Score Outcomes Around Three Implant-Abutment Configurations: 3-Year Results.” *The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants* 33 (5): 1126–35. <https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.6659>.

Bozkaya, Dincer, Sinan Muftu, and Ali Muftu. 2004. “Evaluation of Load Transfer Characteristics of Five Different Implants in Compact Bone at Different Load Levels by Finite Elements Analysis.” *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 92 (6): 523–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2004.07.024>.

Canullo, Luigi, Martina Caneva, and Marco Tallarico. 2017. “Ten-Year Hard and Soft Tissue Results of a Pilot Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial on Immediately Loaded Post-Extractive Implants Using Platform-Switching Concept.” *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 28 (10): 1195–1203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.12940>.

Carinci, Francesco, Dorina Lauritano, Francesca Cura, Michele Antonio Lopez, M. Andreasi Bassi, L. Confalone, and F. Pezzetti. 2016. “Prevention of Bacterial Leakage at Implant-Abutment Connection Level: An in Vitro Study of the Efficacy of Three Different Implant Systems.” *Journal of Biological Regulators and Homeostatic Agents* 30 (2): 69–73.

Carvalho, Marco Aurélio, Bruno Salles Sotto-Maior, Altair Antoninha Del Bel Cury, and Guilherme Elias Pessanha Henriques. 2014. “Effect of Platform Connection and Abutment Material on Stress Distribution in Single Anterior Implant-Supported Restorations: A Nonlinear 3-Dimensional Finite Element Analysis.” *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 112 (5): 1096–1102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2014.03.015>.

Chen, Stephen, and Daniel Buser. 2014. “Esthetic Outcomes Following Immediate and Early Implant Placement in the Anterior Maxilla—A Systematic Review.” *The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants* 29 (Supplement): 186–215. <https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.2014suppl.g3.3>.

Chen, Stephen T., Ivan B. Darby, Geoffrey G. Adams, and Eric C. Reynolds. 2005. “A



Prospective Clinical Study of Bone Augmentation Techniques at Immediate Implants.” *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 16 (2): 176–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2004.01093.x>.

Cheng, Guo Liang, Binnaz Leblebicioglu, Jianrong Li, and Hua Hong Chien. 2020. “Soft Tissue Healing around Platform-Switching and Platform-Matching Single Implants: A Randomized Clinical Trial.” *Journal of Periodontology* 91 (12): 1609–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.20-0030>.

Cochran, David L, Lian Ping Mau, Frank L Higginbottom, Thomas G Wilson, Dieter D Bosshardt, John Schoolfield, and Archie A Jones. 2013. “Soft and Hard Tissue Histologic Dimensions Around Dental Implants in the Canine Restored with Smaller-Diameter Abutments: A Paradigm Shift in Peri-Implant Biology.” *The International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants* 28 (2): 494–502. <https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.3081>.

Evans, Christopher D.J., and Stephen T. Chen. 2008. “Esthetic Outcomes of Immediate Implant Placements.” *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 19 (1): 73–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2007.01413.x>.

Faggion, Clovis Mariano, Nikolaos Nikitas Giannakopoulos, and Stefan Listl. 2011. “How Strong Is the Evidence for the Need to Restore Posterior Bounded Edentulous Spaces in Adults? Grading the Quality of Evidence and the Strength of Recommendations.” *Journal of Dentistry*. Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2010.11.002>.

Fokas, George, Vida M. Vaughn, William C. Scarfe, and Michael M. Bornstein. 2018. “Accuracy of Linear Measurements on CBCT Images Related to Presurgical Implant Treatment Planning: A Systematic Review.” *Clinical Oral Implants Research*. Blackwell Munksgaard. <https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.13142>.

Hajaj, Tareq, Serban Talpos, Caius Stoian, Meda Lavinia Negrutiu, Camelia Szuhaneck, Malina Popa, Adrian Tudor Stan, et al. 2018. “Determining the Biological Sealing Quality of the Implant-Abutment Interface Using Streptococcus Mutans in Both, Conical and Internal Hex Connections.” *Revista de Chimie* 69 (6): 1429–30. <https://doi.org/10.37358/rc.18.6.6339>.

Lazzara, Richard J, and Stephan S Porter. 2006. “Platform Switching: A New Concept in Implant Dentistry for Controlling Postrestorative Crestal Bone Levels.” *The International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry* 26 (1): 9–17.

Malchiodi, Luciano, Alessandro Cucchi, Paolo Ghensi, and Pier Francesco Nocini. 2013. "Evaluation of the Esthetic Results of 64 Nonfunctional Immediately Loaded Postextraction Implants in the Maxilla: Correlation between Interproximal Alveolar Crest and Soft Tissues at 3Years of Follow-Up." *Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research* 15 (1): 130–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8208.2011.00424.x>.

Morimoto, Taichiro, Yoshihiro Tsukiyama, Keizo Morimoto, and Kiyoshi Koyano. 2015. "Facial Bone Alterations on Maxillary Anterior Single Implants for Immediate Placement and Provisionalization Following Tooth Extraction: A Superimposed Cone Beam Computed Tomography Study." *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 26 (12): 1383–89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.12480>.

Pera, Paolo, Maria Menini, Paolo Pesce, Marco Bevilacqua, Francesco Pera, and Tiziano Tealdo. 2018. "Immediate Versus Delayed Loading of Dental Implants Supporting Fixed Full-Arch Maxillary Prosthesis: A 10-Year Follow-up Report." *The International Journal of Prosthodontics* 32 (1): 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ijp.5804>.

Saito, Hanae, Stephen Chu, Jonathan Zamzok, Marion Brown, Richard Smith, Guido Sarnachiaro, Mark Hochman, Paul Fletcher, Mark Reynolds, and Dennis Tarnow. 2018. "Flapless Postextraction Socket Implant Placement: The Effects of a Platform Switch–Designed Implant on Peri-Implant Soft Tissue Thickness—A Prospective Study." *The International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry* 38: s9–15. <https://doi.org/10.11607/prd.3931>.

Santiago Junior, Joel Ferreira, Eduardo Piza Pellizzer, Fellippo Ramos Verri, and Paulo Sérgio Perri De Carvalho. 2013. "Stress Analysis in Bone Tissue around Single Implants with Different Diameters and Veneering Materials: A 3-D Finite Element Study." *Materials Science and Engineering C* 33 (8): 4700–4714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2013.07.027>.

Sanz, Mariano, Denis Cecchinato, Jorge Ferrus, E. Bjarni Pjetursson, Niklaus P. Lang, and Jan Lindhe. 2010. "A Prospective, Randomized-Controlled Clinical Trial to Evaluate Bone Preservation Using Implants with Different Geometry Placed into Extraction Sockets in the Maxilla." *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 21 (1): 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2009.01824.x>.

Scala, Alessandro, Niklaus P. Lang, Michael T. Schweikert, José Américo de Oliveira, Idelmo Rangel-Garcia, and Daniele Botticelli. 2014. "Sequential Healing of Open Extraction Sockets. An Experimental Study in Monkeys." *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 25 (3): 288–



95. <https://doi.org/10.1111/clar.12148>.

Schwarz, Frank, Andrea Hegewald, and Jürgen Becker. 2014. "Impact of Implant-Abutment Connection and Positioning of the Machined Collar/Microgap on Crestal Bone Level Changes: A Systematic Review." *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 25 (4): 417–25.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/clar.12215>.

Tan, Wah Lay, Terry L.T. Wong, May C.M. Wong, and Niklaus P. Lang. 2012. "A Systematic Review of Post-Extraction Alveolar Hard and Soft Tissue Dimensional Changes in Humans." *Clinical Oral Implants Research* 23 (SUPPL. 5): 1–21.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2011.02375.x>.

Tettamanti, Lucia, C. Andrisani, M. Andreasi Bassi, R. Vinci, J. Silvestre-Rangil, and A. Tagliabue. 2017. "Immediate Loading Implants: Review of the Critical Aspects." *ORAL and Implantology*. CIC Edizioni Internazionali s.r.l. <https://doi.org/10.11138/orl/2017.10.2.129>.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.